

Consideration of Appeal Cases, SCN reply. Non submission of reply & Request for closure
(Volume-1)

1.	SRCAPP2016 30142	BA.B.Ed BSc.B.Ed	Sadasivam Kathirkamavalli College of Arts and Science, Thiruvar District, Tamil Nadu	TN	<p>1. The Hon. H.C. has directed us in W.P. Appeal to reconsider this case for grant of recognition w.e.f.2018-19.</p> <p>2.1. The Court has directed them to resubmit the application for reconsideration. Accordingly, they have resubmitted a hard-copy of their original application. Any reconsideration of that will still attract our attention to the infirmities.</p> <p>2.2. Our earlier order was with reference to the infirmities and in accordance with the Regulations governing such cases. The Hon. H.C. has possibly taken a flexible view of certain requirements. But, the SRC does not have that discretion. We have to strictly abide by the Regulations. Our earlier order can not, therefore, be called illegal'.</p> <p>3. The representation of our lawyer to the court that he had not received any instructions for the hearing on 5.3.18 was not correct. SRO had sent an e-mail on 5.3.18. Surely, it could have gone earlier.</p> <p>4.1. The H.C. in appeal has cited only the infirmity of delayed submission of NOC. The other objection about 'encumbrance' to the title has been ignored.</p> <p>4.2. The Appeal committee had also cited the</p>
----	---------------------	---------------------	---	----	---

					<p>encumbrance to confirm our order. That a letter from the Bank can not replace a formal entry in a formal EC issued by the Competent Authority (i.e, the Sub-Registrar) has not been addressed.</p> <p>4.3. The Supreme Court prescribed dateline of 3.3.18 for issue of FR w.e.f. 2018-19 has also been ignored.</p> <p>5. We should either request for a review or go up in SLP against this order, Urgently consult HQ and put up on 31.5.18.</p>
2	SRCAPP20163 0158	BA.B.Ed BSc.B.Ed 2 units	Shiksha, Thiruvannamalai, Tamil Nadu	TN	<p>1. As directed by the Appeal Committees they have submitted 3 BCCs, Normally, new documents are not admitted in Appeal. But, in view of the Appeal Committees order, we may accept and examine them.</p> <p>2.1. Out of the 3 BCCs given, one is a photocopy of a hand written BCC. We will require a type-written BCC in original.</p> <p>2.2. Another BCC is shown to be for shiksha Integrated College of Education.</p> <p>2.3. The third BCC is for Teresa Educational Trust.</p> <p>2.4. The locations given in these and the location of the B.Ed Course are all different. They must clearly explain w.r.t. B.Ed (2 units) and BA.B.Ed/BSc.B.Ed.</p>

					<p>3. They have not replied to our SCN. They have to clarify whether they need 2 units each of BA.B.Ed & BSc.B.Ed or only one unit each.</p> <p>4. The built-up area available, as can be seen from the not very reliable BCCs, is not adequate for B.Ed (2 units), BA,B,Ed (2 unit)& BSc.B.Ed (2 units).</p> <p>5. BP is required to be submitted in blue print for verifying built-up area.</p> <p>6. Issue SCN, accordingly.</p>
3	SRCAPP14120	B.Ed	Star B.Ed College, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh	AP	<p>1. This case relates to B.Ed (2 units).</p> <p>2. This has already been found eligible for FR.</p> <p>3. It was rejected on the 'stand alone' clauses because the linked D.El.Ed (2 units) case was rejected.</p> <p>4. Now that the D.El.Ed case has been received by the Appellate order, this case has also be revived and reconsidered.</p> <p>5. Keep this case in abeyance till a final decision is taken in the allied D.El.Ed case. (case no.14121)</p>
4	SRCAPP14121	D.El.Ed	Star College of Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh	AP	<p>1. This case relates to D.El.Ed (2 units).</p> <p>2. This case was rejected, after issue of LOI, for non-submission of reply.</p> <p>3. It has been revived as per Appellate Authority order.</p> <p>4. The Faculty list submitted is examined.</p>

					<p>4.1. It has the following features ;</p> <p>(i) It is approved by the SCERT.</p> <p>(ii) The Faculty list has 4 Asst. Profs for Perspective. Whereas only 3 are required. The list does not disclose their subjects. What is required is Psychology and Sociology/Phil plus any other.</p> <p>(iii) The 4th Asst. Professor from Perspective Group can be shifted to Pedagogy Group where a vacancy is noted for Asst. Prof (Social .Science).</p> <p>(iv) Ask them to show the subject specialisation of all the Asst. Profs.</p> <p>5. Issue SCN accordingly.</p>
5	SRCAPP2940	B.Ed	Raacharla College of Education, Karimnagar, Telangana	TS	<p>1. In this case we issued FR for B.Ed (2 units) w.e.f. 2016-17.</p> <p>2. The case has gone to HC and SC because of objections from the State Govt. during issue of permission under the State Act for opening new colleges.</p> <p>3. The Sup. Court has not interfered with the H.C. order. They have only directed all the parties to carefully adhere to norms/standards .</p> <p>4. The State Govt. has raised 5 objections :</p> <p>(i) The State Govt. objected to any new B.Ed courses in Telangana. The SRC could not consider such 'banning provisions'. The Telangana Govt. Should have taken it up with the NCTE (HQ) when they issued the Ban order Notification.</p> <p>(ii) The SRC has not considered the State</p>

					<p>Govt's objections about 7 colleges. The SRC had sought State Govt's NOC in each case. The State Govt. should have given objections in each case citing the reasons. An over arching objection for multiple cases can not be legally considered by SRC. This position was confirmed to the State Govt. by NCTE (HQ) also.</p> <p>(iii) The College does not have adequate built-up area. This observation of the State Govt. is not supported by the documents on record. The BP.BCC, and VTI report all give a figure of 2010 sqmts which is adequate for B.Ed (2 units).</p> <p>(iv) The Faculty Members do not have 3 years experience. This observation of the State Govt is based on a misconception. The Regulations prescribe a 10-year experience only for Principals. No experience is prescribed for Faculty Members. There is, however, a prescription that for Faculty Members in the Perspective Group the requirement of M.A +M.Ed can be equated with M.A +B.Ed + 3 years teaching experience. Possibly, this has caused some confusion.</p> <p>(v) The amendment dated 9.6.17 has not been complied with. This objection can not govern our decision since FR was granted on 3.3.16. We will, however, insist that any new recruitments must cover the requirement of NET clearance or Ph.D.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

Members: Dr. J.Prasad, Prof. M.S. Lalithamma, Smt. Gayathri Devi T.N

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

					<p>5.1. In the result, and for the reasons given above, there is no need to meddle with the FR given.</p> <p>5.2. Ask the college to ensure that all new recruitments conform to the amended Regulation.</p> <p>5.3. Inform the State Govt. accordingly.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

Members: Dr. J.Prasad, Prof. M.S. Lalithamma, Smt. Gayathri Devi T.N

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

360th Meeting of SRC
23rd - 24th, May, 2018

6	SRCAPP3011	B.Ed- Distance (500 intake)	Institute of Distance Education University of Madras, Chennai, Tamil Nadu	TN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Their LOI reply is seen. 2. They have fulfilled the study centre requirements. 3. They have fulfilled the Appendix-10 requirements regarding curriculum, syllabus and, other study materials. 4. Faculty list is examined. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Principal is fully qualified. (ii) There is only one Associate Prof as against 2 required . One more Associate Prof is required. (iii) There are 4 Asst. Profs one of them being an Asst. Prof (Commerce) is not qualified since Commerce is not a school subject according to NCTE. Another Asst. Prof of applied Psy. has no M.Ed and is, therefore, not qualified. 5. Issue SCN accordingly
7	SRCAPP3244	D.El.Ed 2 Units	Dr. Zakir Husain College of Elementary Education, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh	AP	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Their reply to our SCN is seen. 2. The SCN was wrongly issued. The amendment dt.9.6.17 does not apply to D.El.Ed courses at all. 3. We regret the inconvenience caused to the applicant. 4. We accept their reply ; Cancel the SCN ; and , decide to issue FR for D.El.Ed (1 unit) w.e.f. 2018-19 as already decided in our 355th meeting on 31.1.18.
8	SRCAPP3460	B.Ed	Ahmed College of Education, Nizamabad, Telangana	TS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The case is reconsidered w.r.t. the objections raised by the Govt. of Telangana. 2. The reply of the college to our SCN is seen. 3. The Contention of the college that for B.Ed (2 units) the Faculty required is

8

Members: Prof. M.S. Lalithamma, Smt. Gayathri Devi T.N

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

					<p>only of 1 +10 is not correct. The Regulations clearly stipulate a requirement of 1 + 15 (1 +4 +8 +3). The latest Faculty list is therefore incomplete. They should give a revised Faculty list.</p> <p>4. The Telangana Govt. has raised 5 objections in such cases :</p> <p>(i) The State Govt. objected to any new B.Ed courses in Telangana. The SRC could not consider such 'banning provisions'. The Telangana Govt. Should have taken it up with the NCTE (HQ) when they issued the Ban order Notification.</p> <p>(ii) The SRC has not considered the State Govt's objections about 7 colleges. The SRC had sought State Govt's NOC in each case. The State Govt. should have given objections in each case citing the reasons. An over arching objection for multiple cases can not be legally considered by SRC. This position was confirmed to the State Govt. by NCTE (HQ) also.</p> <p>(iii) The Faculty Members do not have 3 years experience. This observation of the State Govt is based on a misconception. The Regulations prescribe a 10-year experience only for Principals. No experience is prescribed for Faculty Members. There is, however, a prescription that for Faculty Members in the Perspective Group the requirement of M.A +M.Ed can be equated with M.A +B.Ed + 3</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>years teaching experience. Possibly, this has caused some confusion.</p> <p>(iv) The amendment dated 9.6.17 has not been complied with. This objection can not govern our decision since FR was granted on 3.3.16. We will, however, insist that any new recruitments must cover the requirement of NET clearance or Ph.D.</p> <p>(v) The State Government has cited 4 cases of Faculty Members who are actually seen to be working elsewhere simultaneously. The College has explained that the 4 cases in reference involve candidates selected for appointment after all clearances are received. Till then, they will be working in the other Institutions. This seems to be a reasonable contention. Never the less, the college is required to submit an affidavit to the effect that they are not drawing salary from 2 institutions at the same time. They should also collect letters from the 4 candidates to the effect that they are not working at two places at the same time.</p> <p>5. Ask the college to ensure that all new recruitments conform to the amendment dt. 9.6.17.</p> <p>6. Inform the State Govt. accordingly.</p> <p>7. Issue SCN to the college.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

Members: Prof. M.S. Lalithamma, Smt. Gayathri Devi T.N

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

360th Meeting of SRC
23rd – 24th, May, 2018

9	SRCAPP2016 30137	D.P.S.E (2 units)	Oasis Diploma in Pre School Education, Warangal, Telangana	TS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. They have submitted the required FDRs. 2. Issue FR for DPSE (1 unit) w.e.f. 2018—19 as already decided in the 358th meeting during 1-3 March 18.
10	APS04385	B.Ed (2 units)	Sri Muthukumaran College of Education, Chennai, Tamil Nadu	TN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. They have not yet replied to our letter dt.22.1.18. 2. The TNTEU have such a detailed reply. This goes adverse to the college. 3.1. Send, a SCN to the College for detailed reply to the issues raised by us. 3.2. Give time till 30.6.18. 3.3. If the reply is not received by them, we may have to withdraw recognition.
11	APS07526 SRCAPP1540	B.Ed (2 units) D.El.Ed	Sanjeevani College of Education,	AP	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We had issued FR for D.El.Ed (1 unit) on 3.8.12. 2. We had issued FR for B.Ed (2 units) on 5.10.2007. 3. Now the sponsoring society Sanjeevani Charitable Trust has requested for permission to transfer the management of these 2 programmes to Somasean Society. 4. There is no provision in the Regulations for Change of management. 5. It will, therefore, be beyond the SRC's mandate to consider this request. 6. Reject their application on this ground.
12	APS02505	B.Ed (2 units)	KLE Society's College of Education, Rajajinagar,	KA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No reply has been received to our SCN dt. 29.9.16. 2. We can not wait indefinitely for a reply. 3. Withdraw recognition granted for B.Ed

			Karnataka		(2 units) on 31.11.04. 4. Inform the University concerned. 5. Return FDRs, if any, after receiving No Demands Certificate of the staff from the college. 6. Close the file
13	SRCAPP14623	B.Ed	Palamoor Educations, Mahaboobnagar, Telangana	TS	1. No reply has been received to our letter dt. 9.2.18. 2. We had given FR for B.Ed (2 units) w.e.f. 2016-17 on 2.5.16. 3. We can give one last chance for them to explain the points raised by the state Govt. 4. Issue a letter accordingly giving time till 31.7.18.
14	SRCAPP14060	B.Ed	Noble B.Ed College, Medak, Telangana	TS	1. No reply has been received to our letter dt.28.11.17. 2. We had given FR for B.Ed (2 units) w.e.f. 2016-17 on 12.4.16. 3. We can give one last chance for them to explain the points raised by the state Govt. 4. Issue a letter accordingly giving time till 31.7.18.
15	APS00637	B.Ed (2 units)	Sree Narayana Guru Memorial B.Ed College, Alappuzha, Kerala	KL	1. This is an RPRO case of B.Ed (2 units). 2. In RPRO case, it is very essential for TEIs to give an Affidavit affirming adherence to the 2014 Regulations. 3. They have not yet submitted the affidavit inspite of a SCN from us in Nov 2015. 4. We can not wait indefinitely for their Affidavit. 5. Withdraw recognition for B.Ed (2 units)

360th Meeting of SRC
23rd – 24th, May, 2018

					w.e.f. 2016-17. 6. Inform the University. 7. Close the file
16	AOS00196	B.Ed (2 units)	Government College of Education, Pudukottai, Tamil Nadu	TN	1. This is an RPRO case of B.Ed (2 units). 2. In RPRO case, it is very essential for TEIs to give an Affidavit affirming adherence to the 2014 Regulations. 3. They have not yet submitted the affidavit inspite of a SCN from us in Nov 2015. 4. We can not wait indefinitely for their Affidavit. 5. Withdraw recognition for B.Ed (2 units) w.e.f. 2016-17. 6. Inform the University. 7. Close the file
17	AOS02524	B.Ed (2 units)	Loyola Institute of Teacher Education, Pondicherry	PO	1. This is an RPRO case of B.Ed (2 units). 2. In RPRO case, it is very essential for TEIs to give an Affidavit affirming adherence to the 2014 Regulations. 3. They have not yet submitted the affidavit inspite of a SCN from us in Nov 2015. 4. We can not wait indefinitely for their Affidavit. 5. Withdraw recognition for B.Ed (2 units) w.e.f. 2016-17. 6. Inform the University. 7. Close the file
18	AOS00542	B.Ed (2 units)	Sree Narayana College of Education, Mahe, Pondicherry	PO	1. This is an RPRO case of B.Ed (2 units). 2. In RPRO case, it is very essential for TEIs to give an Affidavit affirming adherence to the 2014 Regulations.

360th Meeting of SRC
23rd – 24th, May, 2018

					<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. They have not yet submitted the affidavit inspite of a SCN from us in NO 2015. 4. We can not wait indefinitely for their Affidavit. 5. Withdraw recognition for B.Ed (2 units) w.e.f. 2016-17. 6. Inform the University. 7. lose the file
19	AOS00192	B.Ed	Mangalam College of Education, Kottayam, Kerala	KL	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This is a RPRO case of B.Ed –AI. 2. Since they have not given the Affidavit affirming adherence to the 2014 Regulations, inspite a SCN from us in Nov.15 we have to withdraw the recognition given to B.Ed –AI on 23.8.2002. Issue accordingly. 3. Inform the University. 4. We should also withdraw recognition given the Basic unit. Put up the Basic unit case also.
20	APS02972	B.Ed (2 units)	Mother Teresa B.Ed College, Pondicherry	PO	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This is an RPRO case of B.Ed (2 units). 2. In RPRO case, it is very essential for TEIs to give an Affidavit affirming adherence to the 2014 Regulations. 3. They have not yet submitted the affidavit inspite of a SCN from us in Nov 2015. 4. We can not wait indefinitely for their Affidavit. 5. Withdraw recognition for B.Ed (2 units) w.e.f. 2016-17. 6. Inform the University. 7. close the file.

Members: Prof. M.S. Lalithamma, Smt. Gayathri Devi T.N

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

360th Meeting of SRC
23rd – 24th, May, 2018

21	APS03865	D.T.Ed	Thirumalai Teacher Training Institute, Kancheepuram, Tamil Nadu	TN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. They have requested for Closure. 2. They have completed all the formalities. 3. Their request is accepted. 4. Issue a formal order accordingly. 5. Withdraw recognition for D.El.Ed (1 unit) w.e.f. 2018-19. 6. Inform SCERT. 7. Return FDRs, if any. 8. Close the file.
22	SRCAPP3038	B.Ed	BMR College of Education, Medak, Telangana	TS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This is a case of B.Ed. We gave FR on 2.5.16. 2. But, they could not start the programme for want of clearance from the State Govt. and for want of affiliation form the University. 3. Now, they have requested for permission to close down. 4. Their request is accepted. 5. Issue a formal order accordingly. 6. Withdraw the recognition granted to B.Ed on 2.5.16. 7. Inform the State Govt. 8. Return FDR,s if any. 9. Close the file
23	APSO5561	B.Ed	Rajeev Memorial College of Teacher Education, Kannur, Kerala	KL	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Their request for reduction from B.Ed (2 units) to B.Ed (1 unit) was accepted. 2. We have only to examine the Faculty Position w.r.t. that ; and, the admission of 25 students. 3.1. The Withdrawal of recognition order was issued on 10.8.17 and received by them on 16.8.17. The admission list furnished shows that admissions were made before 16.8.17. The admissions

					<p>are, therefore, ratified.</p> <p>3.2. They will have the right to complete the 2-year course.</p> <p>4.1. The Faculty Position is still faulty:</p> <p>(i) Principal is qualified.</p> <p>(ii) The 2 Asst. Profs in perspective Group are in History. They have, therefore, to be replaced by 2 Asst Profs in Psychology/Sociology/Philosophy.</p> <p>(iii) The 3 Asst. Profs in Pedagogy are in Commerce, Science and English. Commerce is not school subject ; Asst. Prof (Commerce) is therefore not qualified. Asst. Prof (Political Science) is under qualified with 54.5% marks. Asst.Prof (Maths is vacant). Only Asst. Prof (Science) is qualified. Asst Prof. (Regional Language) is vacant.</p> <p>(iv)Asst. Profs (PA/FA/PhyEd) are in order.</p> <p>(v) Adopting a flexible approach the Perspective and Pedagogy Groups can be staffed as follows:</p> <p>Asst. Prof (Social Sci.) Asst. Prof (Reg.Lang) / to cover both Persp. & pedagogy.</p> <p>Asst. Prof (Science.)..for pedagogy.</p> <p>Asst. Prof (Maths)...for Pedagogy.</p> <p>4.2.It must ensured that all new recruitments conform to the 9.6.17</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>amendment about NET clearance or Ph.D.</p> <p>4.3.All Faculty appointments must be formally approved by the Registrar of the University.</p> <p>5. Issue SCN accordingly.</p> <p>6. The recognition is restored subject to these requirements.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

Members: Prof. M.S. Lalithamma, Smt. Gayathri Devi T.N

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman